



**ENTERED**

TAWANA C. MARSHALL, CLERK  
THE DATE OF ENTRY IS  
ON THE COURT'S DOCKET

**The following constitutes the order of the Court.**

**Signed July 8, 2004.**

**United States Bankruptcy Judge**

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION**

**In re:**

**DENISE KAY MCNAMARA**

**DEBTOR**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CASE NO. 03-36551-SAF-11**

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  
REGARDING DEBTOR'S PLAN MODIFICATIONS**

Came on to be heard this 8<sup>th</sup> day of July 2004 the Motion for Determination that Debtor's Plan Modification Does Not Adversely Affect any Accepting Creditor that has not Accepted the Modifications in Writing and the Debtor's Second Motion for Determination that Debtor's Plan Modification Does Not Adversely Affect any Accepting Creditor that has not Accepted the Modifications in Writing (the "Motions") filed by Denise Kay MacNamara ("Debtor") on June 9, 2004. The Court, after noting that due notice under F.R.B.P. 2002 has been given to all parties in interest under the circumstances and after the consideration of the evidence submitted and the arguments of counsel, makes following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

1. Adequate information was contained in the original disclosure statement in this case as set forth in Section 1125 of the Code.
2. The plan was not modified, in either of the modifications, in such a manner that either classification or treatment of any creditor was materially altered in an adverse manner.
3. The Modifications do not cause a material adverse change to the treatment of any class of creditors or interests who voted on the Amended Plan of Reorganization proposed by the Debtor, who has not changed their ballots by virtue of the Modification.
4. Notice sent to the twenty (20) largest creditors and those requesting notice is sufficient notice for modifications that do not cause a material adverse change to the treatment of creditors or interest holders.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

1. The plan as modified does not violate Sections 1122 and 1123 of the Code.
2. The plan as modified meets all of the requirements of Section 1129 of the Code.
3. The proponents of the plan met the qualifications of Section 1125 of the Code by virtue of the original disclosure statement.
4. All creditors and interest holders who voted in favor of the proponents' plan are deemed to have accepted the Modifications.

SO ORDERED

### End of Order ###

Submitted by:

**E. P. Keiffer**  
**State Bar No. 11181700**  
**HANCE SCARBOROUGH WRIGHT**  
**GINSBERG & BRUSLOW, LLP**  
**1401 Elm Street, Suite 4750**  
**Dallas, TX 75202**  
**Phone: (214) 651-6500**  
**Fax: (214) 744-2615**  
**E mail: [pkeiffer@hswgb.com](mailto:pkeiffer@hswgb.com)**

**ATTORNEY FOR DEBTOR**