

This guide contains information regarding changes to CM/ECF events and procedures.

Proposed amendments to Official Forms and Rules were approved by the Judicial Conference at its September 2023 meeting and went into effect December 1, 2023.

If you have any questions or need further assistance, please contact the ECF Help Desk at (800) 442-6850.

The effective date is December 1, 2023.



Amendments to Official Forms, 410A, 417A, and Director's Form 1340

Official Form 410A – Proof of Claim Attachment

Part 3 of Form 410A is amended to provide for separate itemization of principal due and interest due. Because under § 1322(e) the amount necessary to cure a default is "determined in accordance with the underlying agreement and applicable non bankruptcy law," it may be necessary for a debtor who is curing arrearages under § 1325(a)(5) to know which portion of the total arrearages is principal and which is interest.

Official Forms 417A - Notice of Appeal and Statement of Election

Parts 2 and 3 of the form are amended to conform to wording in the simultaneously amended Rule 8003. The new wording is intended to remind appellants that appeals as of right from orders and decrees are limited to those that are "appealable" — that is, either deemed final or issued under § 1121(d). See 28 U.S.C. § 158(a)(2). It also seeks to avoid the misconception that it is necessary or appropriate to identify every order of the bankruptcy court that the appellant may wish to challenge on appeal. It requires identification of only "the judgment—or the appealable order or decree—from which the appeal is taken."

Director's Form 1340 – Application for Payment of Unclaimed Funds

Changes are made to discourage fraudulent application by persons asserting that they are a successor claimholder.

Form instructions and a new sample certificate of service are also provided. The form, instructions, and certificate of service should be modified to create localized versions.

Revisions to Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 3011, 8003, 9006, and new Rule 9038 established

Rule 3011 – Unclaimed Funds in Chapter 7, 12, 13 and Subchapter V of Chapter 11 Cases

Rule 3011 is amended to require the clerk to provide searchable access on the court's website to information about unclaimed funds deposited pursuant to § 347(a). See Guide to Judiciary Policy, Vol. 13, Ch. 10 § 1050.10(c). The court may limit access to information about such funds in a specific case for cause,

including, for example, if such access risks disclosing the identity of claimants whose privacy should be protected, or if the information about the unclaimed funds is so old as to be unreliable.

Rule 8003 – Appeal as of Right – How Taken: Docketing the Appeal

Subdivision (a) is amended to conform to recent amendments to Fed. R. App. P. 3(c), which clarified that the designation of a particular interlocutory order in a notice of appeal does not prevent the appellate court from reviewing all orders that merged into the judgment or appealable order or decree. These amendments reflect that a notice of appeal is supposed to be a simple document that provides notice that a party is appealing and invokes the jurisdiction of the appellate court. It therefore must state who is appealing, what is being appealed, and to what court the appeal is being taken. It is the role of the briefs, not the notice of appeal, to focus the issues on appeal.

Subdivision (a)(3)(B) is amended to avoid the misconception that it is necessary or appropriate to identify every order of the bankruptcy court that the appellant may wish to challenge on appeal. It requires the attachment of "the judgment—or the appealable order or decree—from which the appeal is taken"—and the phrase "or the part of it" is deleted. In most cases, because of the merger principle, it is appropriate to identify and attach only the judgment or the appealable order or decree from which the appeal as of right is taken.

Subdivision (a) (4) now calls attention to the merger principle. The general merger rule can be stated simply: an appeal from a final judgment or appealable order or decree permits review of all rulings that led up to the judgment, order, or decree. Because this general rule is subject to some exceptions and complications, the amendment does not attempt to codify the merger principle but instead leaves its details to case law. The amendment does not change the principle established in *Budinich* v. *Becton Dickinson* & Co., 486 U.S. 196, 202-03 (1988), that "a decision on the merits is a 'final decision' whether there remains for adjudication a request for attorney's fees attributable to the case."

Sometimes a party who is aggrieved by a final judgment will make a motion in the bankruptcy court instead of immediately filing a notice of appeal. Rule 8002(b)(1) permits a party who makes certain motions to await disposition of those motions before appealing. But some courts treat a notice of appeal that identifies only the order disposing of such a motion as limited to that order, rather than bringing the final judgment before the appellate court for review. To reduce the unintended loss of appellate rights in this situation, subdivision (a)(5) is added. This amendment does not alter the requirement of Rule 8002(b)(3) (requiring a notice of appeal or an amended notice of appeal if a party intends to challenge an order disposing of certain motions).

Subdivision (a)(6) is added to enable deliberate limitations of the notice of appeal. It allows an appellant to identify only part of a judgment or appealable order or decree by expressly stating that the notice of appeal is so limited. Without such an express statement, however, specific identifications do not limit the scope of the notice of appeal.

On occasion, a party may file a notice of appeal after a judgment or appealable order or decree but identify only a previously non appealable order that merged into that judgment or appealable order or decree. To deal with this situation, subdivision (a)(7) is added to provide that an appeal must not be dismissed for failure to properly identify the judgment or appealable order or decree if the notice of appeal was filed after entry of the judgment or appealable order or decree and identifies an order that merged into the judgment, order, or decree from which the appeal is taken. In this situation, a court should act as if the notice had properly identified the judgment or appealable order or decree. In determining whether a notice of appeal was filed after the entry of judgment, Rules 8002(a)(2) and (b)(2) apply.

Rule 9006 – Computing and Extending Time for Motion Papers

The amendment adds "Juneteenth National Independence Day" to the list of legal holidays. See Juneteenth National Independence Day Act, P.L. 117-17 (2021) (amending 5 U.S.C. § 6103(a)).

Rule 9038 – Bankruptcy Emergency Rules

New Rule 9038 provides authority to extend or toll the time limits in these rules during times of major emergencies affecting the bankruptcy courts. The continuing operation of the bankruptcy courts during the COVID-19 pandemic showed that the existing rules are flexible enough to accommodate remote proceedings, service by mail, and electronic transmission of documents. Nevertheless, it appeared that greater flexibility than Rule 9006(b) provides might be needed to allow the extension of certain time periods in specific cases or any extension on a district-wide basis in response to an emergency.

Emergency rule provisions have also been added to the Civil, Criminal, and Appellate Rules. Along with the Bankruptcy Rule, these rules have been made as uniform as possible. But each set of rules serves distinctive purposes, shaped by different origins, traditions, functions, and needs. Different provisions were compelled by these different purposes. Subdivision (a) specifies the limited circumstances under which the authority conferred by this rule may be exercised. The Judicial Conference of the United States has the exclusive authority to declare a Bankruptcy Rules emergency, and it may do so only under extraordinary circumstances. Those circumstances must relate to public health or safety or affect physical or electronic access to a bankruptcy court. And, importantly, the court's ability to operate in compliance with the Bankruptcy Rules must be substantially impaired.

Under subdivision (b)(1), a Bankruptcy Rules emergency declaration must specify the bankruptcy courts to which it applies because, instead of being nationwide, an emergency might be limited to one area of the country or even to a particular state. The declaration must also specify a termination date that is no later than 90 days from the declaration's issuance. Under subdivisions (b)(2) and (b)(3), however, that time period may be extended by the issuance of additional declarations or reduced by early termination if circumstances change. The declaration must also specify any limitations placed on the authority granted in subdivision (c) to modify time periods.

Subdivisions (c)(1) and (c)(2) grant the authority, during declared Bankruptcy Rules emergencies, to extend or toll deadlines to the chief bankruptcy judge of a district on a district- or division-wide basis or to the presiding judge in specific cases. Unless limited by the emergency declaration, this authority extends to all time periods in the rules that are not also imposed by statute. It also applies to directives to take quick action, such as rule provisions that require action to be taken "promptly," "forthwith," "immediately," or "without delay." Subdivision (c)(3), which addresses the termination of extensions and tolling, provides a "soft landing" upon the termination of a Bankruptcy Rules emergency. It looks to three possible dates for a time period to expire. An extended or tolled time period will terminate either 30 days after the rulesemergency declaration terminates or when the original time period would have expired, whichever is later—unless the extension or tolling itself expires sooner than 30 days after the declaration's termination. In that case, the extended expiration date will apply.

Subdivision (c)(4) allows fine tuning in individual cases of extensions of time or tollings that have been granted. Subdivision (c)(5) excepts from the authority to extend time periods any time provision imposed by statute. The Bankruptcy Rules Enabling Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2075, does not authorize the Bankruptcy Rules to supersede conflicting laws. Accordingly, a time limit in a rule that is a restatement of a deadline imposed by statute or an incorporation by reference of such a deadline may not be extended under this rule. However, if a statute merely incorporates by reference a time period imposed by a rule, that period may be extended.